04/26/04 5578-5820601 268764

3764

PATENT

APR 2 9 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In reapplication of: Mark W. Publicover, et al.

Application No. 09/800,273

Filed: March 5, 2001 Confirmation No. 3749

For: TRAMPOLINE OR THE LIKE WITH

ENCLOSURE

Examiner: Jerome W. Donnelly

Art Unit: 3764

Attorney Reference No. 5578-58206-01/RJP

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 on the

date shown below.

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date Mailed April 26, 2004

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)(3)

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Listed on the accompanying form PTO-1449 and enclosed herewith are several English-language documents. Applicants respectfully request that these documents be considered and listed as references cited on the issued patent.

Applicants are filing this Information Disclosure Statement ("IDS") before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits. As a result, no fee should be required to file this IDS. However, if the Patent Office determines that a fee is required for Applicants to file this IDS, please charge any such fees to Deposit Account No. 02-4550. A duplicate copy of this Information Disclosure Statement is enclosed.

The filing of this IDS shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, prior art or otherwise material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. In particular, because the effective filing date of the present

400

application is before the dates of the documents listed under the heading "OTHER DOCUMENTS," those documents are not printed publication prior art. The documents listed under the heading "OTHER DOCUMENTS" relate to a lawsuit (JumpSport, Inc. v. JumpKing, Inc., ND Cal, C 01-4986 PJH). Some of these litigation documents may be material because they include arguments or conclusions regarding the interpretation of certain claim terminology of the patents (6,053,845 and 6,261,207) that resulted from the grandparent and parent patent applications. Some of these litigation documents also describe two enclosure systems (identified as being made by William H. Curtis and by Rolland Wayne Rich, respectively) that the defendants assert were in public use prior to the effective filing dates of U.S. Patents Nos. 6,053,845 and 6,261,207. The lawsuit has not been resolved as of this date, so the significance of these materials remains subject to clarification by further court action. And as mentioned above, the filing of this IDS shall not be construed to be an admission that the documents listed under the heading "OTHER DOCUMENTS" are, or are considered to be, prior art or otherwise material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

Richard J. Polley

Registration No. 28,107

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 226-7391 Facsimile: (503) 228-9446

Вÿ

APR 2 9 2004
INFORMATION DISCROSURE STATEMENT
BASAPPLICANT

Attorney Docket Number	5578-58206-01/RJP
Application Number	09/800,273
Filing Date	March 5, 2001
First Named Inventor	Publicover
Art Unit	3749
Examiner Name	Jerome W. Donnelly

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

NOTE: If this application was filed after June 30, 2003, copies of United States patents and United States published patent applications do not have to be provided to the Patent Office. This requirement of 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(i) has been waived by the United States Patent and Trademark Office pursuant to the Official Gazette Notice on August 5, 2003 (1276 OG 55).

Examiner's Initials*	Cite No. (optional)	Number	Publication Date	Name of Applicant or Patentee
		5,102,103	04/1992	Putnam
		5,941,798	08/1999	Coan, et al.
		6,135,922	10/2000	Nissen
Examiner's Initials*	Cite No. (optional)		OTHER DOO	CUMENTS
	-	Redacted Plaintiff Jumpsport, Inc.'s Revised Opening Claim Construction Brief, January 31, 2003		
		Supplemental Declaration of Christine A. Amatruda in Support of Plaintiff Jumpsport, Inc.'s Revised Opening Claim Construction Brief, January 31, 2003		
		Defendants' Joint Responsive Claim Construction Brief, February 14, 2003		
		Plaintiff Jumpsport, Inc.'s Reply Claim Construction Brief, February 26, 2003		
		Declaration of Christine A. Amatruda in Support of Plaintiff Jumpsport, Inc.'s Reply Claim Construction Brief, February 26, 2003		
		Plaintiff JumpSport's Motion for Leave to File Motion for Partial Reconsideration of Claim Construction Ruling (Civ. L.R. 7.9), May 19, 2003		
		Plaintiff JumpSport's Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Partial Reconsideration of Claim Construction Ruling, July 2, 2003		
		Plaintiff JumpSport, Inc.'s Responsive Brief to Defendants' Proposed Claim Construction of Additional Terms and Clarification, June 4, 2003		
		Defendants' Objections to and Motion to Strike Certain of Plaintiff's New Proposed Claim Construction, June 5, 2003		

EXAMINER	DATE
SIGNATURE:	CONSIDERED:

^{*} Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through cite if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

`	APR 2 9 200	661	
INFORMAT	ON DISCI	LOSURE STATEMEN EICANT	T

Attorney Docket Number	5578-58206-01/RJP
Application Number	09/800,273
Filing Date	March 5, 2001
First Named Inventor	Publicover
Art Unit	3749
Examiner Name	Jerome W. Donnelly

	Art Unit	3/49
	Examiner Name	Jerome W. Donnelly
Defendants' Court-Requested Memore Partial Reconsideration of Claim Co		
Claim Construction Order, April 1, 2	2003	
Supplemental Claim Construction O	Order, June 10, 2003	
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for July 18, 2003	or Reconsideration and Ame	ending Claim Construction,
Final Consolidated Claim Construct	ion Order, August 21, 2003	
Order (re Defs' Motions for Summa	ry Judgment) (102/103), Ju	ine 2, 2003
[Completed] Verdict Form, Decemb	per 5, 2003	
Notice of Motion and Defendants'	Motion For Summary Judgm	ent of Invalidity Pursuant
Redacted Notice of Motion and Defendants' Collective Motion for Summary Judgment That The Asserted Claims of the '845 and '207 Patents Are Invalid As Being Obvious Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103, April 16, 2003		
Redacted Declaration of Mark W. Property Defs' Mot. For SJ of Invalidity Purson Pl's Mot. For SJ that the Asserted Company Decing Obvious Purs. To 35 USC §10	s. To 35 USC §102; and (2) laims of the '845 and '207 I 03, April 30, 2003	JumpSport's Oppos. to Patents are Invalid as
Redacted Defendants' Collective Re Judgment That The Asserted Claims Pursuant to 35 USC §103, May 7, 20	s of the '845 and '207 Patent	
Reply Brief in Support of Defendant Invalidity Pursuant to 35 USC § 102		mmary Judgment of
Amended Redacted Plaintiff JumpS ₁ Opposition to Defendants' Motion for '845 and '207 Patents are Invalid as 2003	port's Memorandum of Point or Summary Judgment that	the Asserted Claims of the
Amended Redacted Plaintiff JumpS ₁ Opposition to Defendants' Motion for USC 102, April 30, 2003	-	ľ

EXAMINER	DATE
SIGNATURE:	CONSIDERED:

^{*} Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through cite if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

•	/ 3\	
	(APR 2 9 2004)	
INFORMAT	TON DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	

Attorney Docket Number	5578-58206-01/RJP
Application Number	09/800,273
Filing Date	March 5, 2001
First Named Inventor	Publicover
Art Unit	3749
Examiner Name	Jerome W. Donnelly

			Examiner Name	Jerome W. Donnelly
	Deposition of William H. Curtis, October 11, 2002			
		Trial Testimony of Mark Publicover		
		Trial Testimony of Gary Stoffer		
		Trial Testimony of William H. Curtis		
		Deposition of Phillip M. Aja, July 15, 2003		
,				
				·

EXAMINER	DATE
SIGNATURE:	CONSIDERED:

^{*} Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through cite if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.